

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/535,248	ITO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jason A. Sese	1709

**All Participants:**

(1) Jason A. Sese.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Roger Lee.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 August 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Ogawa et al. (JP 2001-330725 A)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner requested an oral election between Group I (Claims 1-5) drawn to a method of producing an optical compensating sheet, and Group II (Claims 6-20) drawn to an optical film. The applicants elected to Group II of the article.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)